

## Has the Sole Power of Impeachment

### Table of Contents

- The Constitutional Cornerstone
- Global Contrasts in Accountability
- Historical Crossroads: When Theory Meets Crisis
- Modern Dilemmas in Checks and Balances
- Burning Questions Answered

### The Constitutional Cornerstone

You know how they say "absolute power corrupts absolutely"? Well, that's exactly why America's founders granted Congress the sole power of impeachment. This nuclear option in governance acts like a circuit breaker when leaders go rogue. Let's unpack what makes this mechanism both vital and controversial.

### The Framers' Safety Net

It's 1787 Philadelphia. Delegates fresh from revolution debate how to prevent monarchy 2.0. Their solution? A three-part system where Congress holds exclusive impeachment authority. Unlike Germany's constructive vote of no confidence or South Africa's multi-stage removal process, the U.S. system creates dramatic showdowns - think Clinton's 1999 trial or Trump's dual impeachments.

### Global Contrasts in Accountability

While America treats impeachment as rare political theater, other democracies use similar tools more routinely. Brazil removed two presidents since 2016 through impeachment-like processes. South Korea's 2016 ouster of Park Geun-hye involved both parliamentary votes and constitutional court review - a hybrid approach that arguably reduces partisan warfare.

Wait, no - actually, the U.S. House's sole impeachment power remains unique in its simplicity. A simple majority vote launches the process, unlike Germany requiring a successor-ready candidate. This streamlined approach cuts both ways: enabling swift action but risking weaponization.

### When Rubber Meets Road

Let's say a president commits bribery tomorrow. The House could impeach within weeks - as they did with Trump's Ukraine call. But here's the rub: The Senate's conviction threshold (67 votes) hasn't been met since 1862. This asymmetry creates what scholars call "accountability theater" - dramatic proceedings that rarely end in removal.

### The Johnson Precedent

## Has the Sole Power of Impeachment

Andrew Johnson's 1868 trial set the template. The House impeached him over Reconstruction policies, but Senate acquittal established that policy disputes ? "high crimes." This precedent still haunts modern attempts to remove leaders for arguably political reasons.

### 21st Century Stress Tests

With polarization at 85-year highs (Pew Research 2023), impeachment's becoming sort of a political football. Since 2019, the House has initiated proceedings twice - matching the total from 1789-1998. Some argue this frequency undermines the process's gravity, while others see it as necessary checks on norm-breaking.

Consider Ukraine's Zelenskyy facing similar challenges. Their parliament can impeach through constitutional court rulings - a technocratic approach contrasting with America's bare-knuckle political battles. Which system better balances stability and accountability? There's no easy answer.

### Burning Questions Answered

Q: How often has the House used its sole impeachment power?

A: Only 21 times since 1789 - but 4 of those occurred since 1998.

Q: Can states impeach governors differently?

A: Absolutely! Alaska requires a legislative supermajority, while Illinois allows recall elections too.

Q: Has any president faced removal after impeachment?

A: None - though Nixon resigned preemptively in 1974.

Q: Why not amend the impeachment process?

A: Attempts exist - like the 2022 proposal requiring Supreme Court oversight - but changing constitutional rules needs overwhelming consensus.

Q: Do other countries copy the U.S. model?

A: The Philippines does, but most blend legislative/judicial roles to prevent partisan abuse.

Web: <https://www.mavhone.co.za>